# Focus on: The Earliest Star Formation

### Alice Shapley



Image credit: NASA, ESA, CSA, and STScI

# The Spectroscopic Revolution in Our Understanding of Star formation at High Redshift

### **Alice Shapley**



Image credit: NASA, ESA, CSA and STScI

# It's the Spectra, Stupid!

### Alice Shapley



Image credit: NASA, ESA, CSA, and STScI

## **Big Questions**



Tumlinson J, et al. 2017. Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 55:389–432 How do baryons cycle through galaxies?

How are galaxies assembled?

Tracing star formation in early galaxies addresses these key questions.

# Bursty Star formation at High Redshift



Excess of UV luminous galaxies relative to pre-JWST predictions.

Adamo et al. 2024

# Bursty Star formation at High Redshift



Excess of UV luminous galaxies relative to pre-JWST predictions.

# Bursty Star Formation in Simulations



Sun et al. 2023

Models with bursty star-formation histories (red line) can reproduce the evolution of the UV luminosity density at z>10 (e.g., FIRE-2 simulations).
Generally predictions are for more burstiness at higher redshift and lower mass.

# Bursty Star Formation in Simulations



- H $\alpha$  closely traces the true SFR on ~5-10 Myr timescales.
- UV light traces the true SFR on ~50-100 Myr timescales.
- There should be a measurable difference in the H $\alpha$ -based SFRs vs. the UV-based SFRs if star formation is bursty.



(Clarke et al. 2024)

- Public JADES+CEERS samples: 146 galaxies at z=1.4-7 with robust SFR(H $\alpha$ ), SFR(UV), M<sub>\*</sub>  $\rightarrow$  the "main sequence" tracing galaxy growth.
- SFR(H $\alpha$ ) suggests significantly more scatter  $\rightarrow$  bursty SF histories.
- If SF histories are bursty, challenges in estimating M<sub>\*</sub>.

No mass

dependence

in the scatter.



(Clarke et al. 2<u>024)</u>

- Public JADES+CEERS samples: 146 galaxies at z=1.4-7 with robust SFR(Hα), SFR(UV), M<sub>∗</sub> → the "main sequence" tracing galaxy growth.
- SFR(H $\alpha$ ) suggests significantly more scatter  $\rightarrow$  bursty SF histories.
- If SF histories are bursty, challenges in estimating M<sub>\*</sub>.

No mass

dependence

in the scatter.



(Clarke et al. 2024)



- Intrinsic scatter higher for SFR(Hα) than SFR(UV).
- Same qualitative result for SFR<sub>10</sub> vs SFR<sub>100</sub> (Cole+2023).
- Bursty SF histories. What is redshift and M\* dependence, though?



(Endsley et al. 2024)

- Young galaxies at z~6 with low Hα/UV ratios (and low [OIII]) consistent with recent downturn in SFR --> burstiness.
- Such galaxies are more common at fainter M<sub>UV</sub>.



(Endsley et al. 2024)

- Young galaxies at z~6 with low Hα/UV ratios (and low [OIII]) consistent with recent downturn in SFR --> burstiness.
- Such galaxies are more common at fainter M<sub>UV</sub>.

### What is the timeline of reionization?

- Slow (democratic) vs. rapid (oligarchical) reionization.
- Ionizing photon production rate expressed as:



• The nature of reionization timeline determined by how  $\xi_{ion}$  and  $f_{esc}$ (LyC) depends on galaxy properties.



(Naidu et al. 2020)

## An Ionizing Photon Budget Crisis?



• Based on initial, high estimates of  $\xi_{ion}$  from JWST, and other reasonable assumptions (LF, f<sub>esc</sub> vs. galaxy properties)....reionization completes too early!

• ξ<sub>ion</sub> from early JWST published work biased high. Need unbiased, spectroscopic (Hα/UV) measures.

(Munoz et al. 2024)

### An Ionizing Photon Budget Crisis?



(Pahl et al. 2024; see also Simmonds+2024b)

• Based on initial, high estimates of  $\xi_{ion}$  from JWST, and other reasonable assumptions (LF, f<sub>esc</sub> vs. galaxy properties)....reionization completes too early!

•  $\xi_{ion}$  from early JWST published work biased high. Need unbiased, spectroscopic (H $\alpha$ /UV) measures.

### An Ionizing Photon Budget Crisis?



(Pahl et al. 2024; See also Simmonds+2024b) • Based on initial, high estimates of  $\xi_{ion}$  from JWST, and other reasonable assumptions (LF, f<sub>esc</sub> vs. galaxy properties)....reionization completes too early!

•  $\xi_{ion}$  from early JWST published work biased high. Need unbiased, spectroscopic (H $\alpha$ /UV) measures.

## **Dust and SFR**



- SFR(H $\alpha$ ) requires dust correction.
- Initial estimates of nebular dust attenution based on H $\alpha$ /H $\beta$ .
- No clear evolution in H $\alpha$ /H $\beta$  at fixed M<sub>\*</sub> at z~3-6.5.
- Extending reach of attenuation vs. M<sub>\*</sub> relation.

### Dust Attenuation curve for Individual Galaxies: z=4.4 Example

∢

 $k(\lambda)$  normalized at 9550

4000

5000



GOODSN-17940 (z=4.41) Spectrum has 70+ em/abs features detected.

Based on 11 H recombination lines, nebular attenuation curve is not MW!

6000

7000

λ (Å)

8000

GOODSN-17940 z=4.41 (this work)

Cardelli+1989 (MW)

Gordon+2003 (SMC)

9000

Calzetti+2000

Similar analysis possible for 25 galaxies in the AURORA survey (see Naveen Reddy's talk).

Sanders et al. 2024

# Why is the density so high?

#### GN-z11 (z=10.6)

RXCJ2248-ID (z=6.1)



#### • Exceptionally high electron densities inferred in, e.g., GN-z11 and RXCJJ2248-ID (based on UV transitions).

 Steady evolution in average inferred electron density vs. redshift.

• Meanwhile, no strong dependence of density on galaxy properties at fixed z.



#### (Senchyna et al. 2023;Topping et al. 2024a)

# Why is the density so high?





• Exceptionally high electron densities inferred in, e.g., GN-z11 and RXCJJ2248-ID (based on UV transitions).

• Steady evolution in average inferred electron density vs. redshift.

• Meanwhile, no strong dependence of density on galaxy properties at fixed z.

Topping et al. 2024, in prep

# Why is the density so high?





• Exceptionally high electron densities inferred in, e.g., GN-z11 and RXCJJ2248-ID (based on UV transitions).

• Steady evolution in average inferred electron density vs. redshift.

• Meanwhile, no strong dependence of density on galaxy properties at fixed z.

#### Topping et al. 2024, in prep

### <u>Rest-Optical Spectrum →</u> <u>12+log(O/H)</u>



• Infer 12+log(O/H) (gas-phase oxygen abundance) from nebular emission lines.

• For high redshift (pre-JWST), the standard was to use calibrations of strongest restoptical emission lines from H, O, Ne, N (purple).

• We can do better.

(Shapley et al. 2024a)

#### JADES-GS-z9-0 z=9.43



Ultradeep NIRSpec spectroscopy spanning rest-UV through 5000AA (including [OIII]4363), yielding C/O, N/O, Ne/O. Other work has highlighted Ar/O.
Use for independent constraints on IMF, star-formation history.

Curti et al. 2024

### RXCJ2248-ID (z=6.13)



• Ultradeep NIRSpec spectroscopy spanning rest-UV through 7250AA (including [OIII]4363), yielding C/O, N/O. Other work has highlighted Ar/O.

• Use for independent constraints on IMF, star-formation history.

Topping et al. 2024a



 Rest-UV nitrogen emission in luminous z>6 galaxies implies both high density (n<sub>e</sub>≥10<sup>6</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) and N/O like globular clusters.

Topping et al. 2024b)

 Maybe these systems feature dense N-rich ejecta from early stellar populations embedded in globular cluster precursors (Pascale et al. 2023).



- At the same time, sub-solar C/O.
- Maybe these systems feature dense N-rich ejecta from early stellar populations embedded in globular cluster precursors (Pascale et al. 2023).

# **Beyond O/H: helium abundance**





Use He/Hβ to infer He/H at z~6 (3 galaxies).

• Enhanced, and correlated with N/O suggesting nonstandard origin for He and N.

• Degeneracy wrt to electron density. Either He/H is higher than in local systems, or else density is.

 Detection of near-IR Hel line (1.08 μm) will break degeneracy. Easily detected in z~2-3 galaxies.



#### Yanagisawa et al. 2024

# **Beyond O/H: helium abundance**

He I λ7067





# 8 He I lines detected at z=4.41

galaxy from AURORA!

• Use He/H $\beta$  to infer He/H at z~6 (3 galaxies).

 Enhanced, and correlated with N/O suggesting nonstandard origin for He and N.

 Degeneracy wrt to electron density. Either He/H is higher than in local systems, or else density is.

 Detection of near-IR Hel line (1.08 µm) will break degeneracy. Easily detected in z~2-3 galaxies.

 Abundance of He, N, C, Ar, in addition to O sheds light on early star formation through chemical enrichment!

Sanders et al. 2024, in prep

### Looking Ahead: The Spectroscopic Promise of JWST

• JWST spectroscopy is bringing many "holy grails" of galaxy formation within view (e.g., robust instantaneous SFRs measured all the way back to Cosmic Dawn).

• Truly remarkable star-formation conditions revealed in the first billion of cosmic time (GN-z11, GHZ2, RXCJ2248-ID), but many puzzles remain.

• For robust statistics and comparisons with models, we need representative samples and sufficient numbers with deep spectra (e.g., Cycle 3 CAPERS program is a start, but low resolution). NIRSpec is up to the task, so we should dream big!

• We can now perform true astrophysical (spectroscopic) measurements where it was previously IMPOSSIBLE.

# Mass Metallicity Relation (MZR)



• Variation in metal content of galaxies with galaxy mass indicates how gas cycles through galaxies (inflows, outflows, star formation) – e.g., how does outflow massloading factor vary with galaxy mass and redshift?

(Tremonti et al. 2004)

# MZR Evolution at z>3



- Drastically different predictions for massmetallicity relation (MZR) evolution at z>3 in different hydrodynamical simulations.
- NIRSpec observations will be able to distinguish among them.
- Require robust metallicity calibrations. Larger samples.

# MZR Evolution at z>3



Sarkar et al. 2024

• Weak MZR evolution consistent with some simulations, not others.

 Require feedback that doesn't remove too many metals and/or accretion that doesn't cause too much dilution.

## MZR Evolution at z>3



• Weak MZR evolution consistent with some simulations, not others.

 Require feedback that doesn't remove too many metals and/or accretion that doesn't cause too much dilution.

Sarkar et al. 2024

# FMR Evolution at z>3



(Curti et al. 2023b)

FMR=Fundamental Metallicity Relation (M\*, Z, SFR)

## What Now?

• (Larger and more representative spectroscopic samples. Test feedback models. Of course.)

BUT, really.....

• Some perspective: JWST/NIRSpec enables studies at z>4 into Cosmic Dawn that were not possible previously even for Cosmic Noon!

- Robust metallicities based on high-redshift (not local) calibrations.
- Chemical abundance patterns.
- Densities.
- Dust attenuation curves.
- The truly unexpected....

### Direct Metallicity Calibrations at High Redshift



• Direct-metallicity calibrations so far from CEERS (Sanders+2024) and JADES (Laseter+2024).

• Larger dynamic range needed (up to solar). Higher S/N ideal.

#### **Direct Metallicity**

**Strong-line Ratio** 

### More Direct Metallicities

#### AURORA Cycle 1 Program (Co-PIs Shapley+Sanders)

51 galaxies with at least 1 auroral line detection  $\rightarrow$ 

- 51 new direct metallicities, including 8 at z>5.
- Robust metallicity calibrations at high redshift for large spectroscopic samples of strong emission lines.
- See also MARTA and CECILIA Cycle 1 Programs.

#### [OIII]4363 auroral line







Massive ( $M_* \sim 10^{10} M_{sun}$ )



### Dusty (β=0.5)



#### And the spectrum.....



Large, massive, dusty, metal-rich, galaxy, with excitation properties like z~2-3 star-forming galaxies. But at z~7. How did it form???

Shapley et al. 2024a; 2024b, in prep



Large, massive, dusty, metal-rich, galaxy, with excitation properties like z~2-3 star-forming galaxies. But at z~7. How did it form???

Shapley et al. 2024a; 2024b, in prep



Large, massive, dusty, metal-rich, galaxy, with excitation properties like z~2-3 star-forming galaxies. But at z~7. How did it form???

Shapley et al. 2024a; 2024b, in prep