The UV-brightest starbursts in the distant Universe:

extreme modes of galaxy formation and Lyman continuum escape



Rui Marques-Chaves

University of Geneva - Switzerland



Email: Rui.MarquesCoelhoChaves@unige.ch

- JWST is now discovering a stunning population of UV-bright sources (even up to z~14) (Bunker+23, Castellano+24, Carniani+24, etc.)
- Far more numerous than previously thought: Based on pre-JWST extrapolations and models
- Why? Possible explanations:

Higher SF efficiency? (Dekel+23, Li+24, Ceverino+24, etc.)Magnetic strengthDust removal? (Ferrara+23, 24, Fiore+23, Ziparo+2)Top-heavy IMF? (eg, Trinca+23, Rasmussen Cueto+24)Stochastic SFHs? (eg, Mason+24, Mirocha & Furlanetto 2023, Shen+23)

Do they represent a specific phase in galaxy formation/evolution ? Why are these sources so bright? Formation/properties/nature ?



(Roberts-Borsani+2024)

October 21, 2024 @Deep24 - Rui Marques-Chaves

Introduction

But how well established is the bright-end of the UV-LFs at lower-z?



October 21, 2024 @Deep24 - Rui Marques-Chaves

This talk: the most UV and Ly α luminous star-forming galaxies known so far



October 21, 2024 @Deep24 - Rui Marques-Chaves

The most UV and Ly α luminous star-forming galaxies known so far



October 21, 2024 @Deep24 - Rui Marques-Chaves

UV-bright starbursts resembling young and massive star-clusters



Signatures of Very Massive Stars (VMS) in their UV spectra



Rest-UV spectra show intense Hell 1640 emission:

-

-

Upadhyaya +2024



impling different IMF (Mup extended up to 475 M)

October 21, 2024 @Deep24 - Rui Marques-Chaves

UV-bright galaxies are strong LyC emitters





Detection of Lyman continuum (LyC) emission with high significance

LyC: $\lambda_0 < 912 \text{ Å or} > 13.6 \text{ eV}$

LyC escape fractions of ~ 40% to 90% !



October 21, 2024 @Deep24 - Rui Margues-Chaves



UV-bright galaxies are strong LyC emitters



J1316+2416: the UV-brightest and strongest LyC emitter - HST view



J1316+2614 at z = 3.613:

- $M_{UV} = -24.65$, SFR = 900 M_{\odot}/yr , E(B-V) ~ 0
- $M_* = 5 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$; 6 Myr age (CSFH)
- $f_{esc}(LyC) \sim 90\%$
- **Resolved LyC emission** and with similar size as the non-ionizing emission (r_{eff} = 220 pc)
- Lyα emission is blueshifted > massive inflows and shows an elongated morphology
- However, Lyα is weak/absent within the stellar emission > exposed stellar core

How is that possible?

Marques-Chaves+2024 (arXiv:2407.18804)



Binding energy: $E_b \propto (1-\epsilon_{SF}) M_{total}^2 / r_{eff}$ Kinetic energy: $E_k \propto SFR$

Gas clearance (i.e., $E_k > E_b$) only occurs when $\varepsilon_{sF} > 70\%$ (similar for radiative driven outflows)

> P6: high LyC leakage driven by high SF efficiency

Marques-Chaves+2024 (arXiv:2407.18804)

UV-bright galaxies: ALMA observations of dust and molecular gas



Dessauges-Zavadsky +2024 (2410.11121)

UV-bright galaxies: ALMA observations of dust and molecular gas



Dessauges-Zavadsky +2024 (2410.11121)

P1: UV-bright galaxies (M_{UV} ~ -23 to -25) are blue / almost unobscured
P2: Emission dominated by very young stellar populations (~ 10Myr)
P3: Spectra and M_{*} and SFR surface densities similar to young massive star clusters
P4: UV spectra dominated by Very Massive Stars > different IMF (top-heavy?)
P5: Among the strongest Lyman continuum emitting galaxies known
P6: Indications of high SF efficiencies > critical for high LyC escape
P7: Short gas depletion timescales > very short-lived phases / how many are we missing?

Can models of galaxy formation & evolution predict these properties?

October 21, 2024 @Deep24 - Rui Marques-Chaves

Are they related to UV-bright galaxies found at z>10 ?

- EoR UV-bright sources are fainter (does it matter?)
- New (extreme) modes of star-formation and LyC escape at high-z?

Scenarios invoked to explain the overabundance of EoR UV-bright sources: Higher SF efficiencies? -> Yes

Dust removal? -> Outflows are common (but not shown here) - maybe yes Top-heavy IMF? -> Still ongoing, possibly yes (VMS)

Stochastic SFHs? -> UV-bright galaxies are powerful starbursts (sSFR > 100 Gyr⁻¹), so why not

Thank you!